

Commissioner: Michael D. Jarrett

Board: William E. Applegate, III, Chairman John H. Burriss, Vice Chairman Richard E. Jabbour, DDS, Secretary Toney Graham, Jr., MD Sandra J. Molander John B. Pate, MD Robert J. Stripling, Jr.

Promoting Health, Protecting the Environment

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Richard Haynes, Engineer Site Engineering Section

Division of Site Engineering and Screening Bureau of Solid and Hazardous Waste Management

FROM:

Billy Britton, Hydrologist Belly Britton

Superfund and Solid Waste Section

Division of Hydrogeology

Bureau of Solid and Hazardous Waste Management

DATE:

April 3, 1992

RE:

Draft Remedial Design and Remedial Action: Field Sampling

and Analysis Plan and Health and Safety Plan

Medley Farm NPL Site

SCD 980 558 142 Cherokee County

The referenced documents have been reviewed, as requested. In general, the documents appear acceptable. However, in addition to the work proposed in the Field Sampling and Analysis Plan, more work appears necessary to address some concerns previously expressed by the Department in a memorandum dated May 1, 1991.

- As stated in previous comments from the Department 1) regarding the Draft Record of Decision (ROD), the extent of contamination in the saprolite northeast of well SW-108, in the bedrock northeast of BW-108, and in the bedrock southwest of wells BW-105, BW-109, and BW-106 was not adequately defined during the remedial investigation. Department's previous comments suggested that additional wells should be installed in the abovementioned areas and samples should be collected to confirm the extent of contamination and to ensure adequate design of the groundwater recovery system. useful information regarding the extent of contamination to the north and northwest of wells SW-3 SW-108 may be obtained during the groundwater screening survey and by the installation of the currently proposed monitoring wells, the Department believes that the proposed work will not be sufficient to adequately define the extent of contamination in the above-mentioned areas. Therefore, more work, in addition to the proposed work, appears necessary.
- 2) The Department also previously has requested that additional samples be collected to resolve the issue of





whether semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) are present in groundwater at the site. It is noted that: samples which will be collected from proposed monitoring well. pairs BW-201/SW-201 and BW-202/SW-202 will be analyzed for both Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and TCL SVOCs However, due to the proposed placement of monitoring wells BW-201/SW-201 and BW-202/SW-202, it is doubtful that sampling these would yield data which could determine, conclusively, whether or not SVOCs are present in groundwater at the site. To resolve this issue, the Department recommends that, at a minimum, one round of samples be collected and analyzed for SVOCs from all of the wells in which contamination has been detected during the remedial investigation. This additional sampling is important, because the selected treatment option for groundwater at the site is air stripping, and air stripping alone may not be effective in dealing with SVOCs that may potentially be present.

3) According to the Field Sampling and Analysis Plan, "Direct Push Technology" will be used in the groundwater screening efforts. In the event that "Direct Push Technology" can not penetrate the soils at the site to the desired depth, does any contingency plan exist?